

An Industry Perspective on Clinical Outcomes using Real World Data

Michael D. Taylor, PharmD, PhD

Deputy Head of Oncology, Real World Data Science

Genentech, A Member of the Roche Group



Setting the scene

The Obvious:

Real World Data (RWD) \neq Clinical Trial

- Environment
- Patients
- Objectives

But, not opposites ... similarities exist

Should clinical trial endpoints be used in the RWD setting?

- Yes and No
- Endpoints are needed that correspond to traditional, clinical trial endpoints
- Alternate and/or novel endpoints are also needed that may be more meaningful/appropriate/practical in an RWD setting
 - Especially given different objectives of clinical trials and studies using RWD

Endpoint Characteristics

- Meaningful to regulators, payers, healthcare providers, and patients
 - Different endpoints for different end-users?
- Ability to measure and analyze in a valid, reliable/replicable, and methodologically robust manner
- Ability to measure in multiple data sources?
 - Within a given type of RWD (registry, electronic medical records [EMR], claims)
 - Perhaps across types of RWD
 - Linkage of RWD → opportunity to develop new endpoints

Endpoints corresponding to standard clinical trial endpoints

- Overall Survival
 - Ultimate endpoint for benefit/risk decisions
 - Relevant and measurable in clinical trial and RWD settings
 - Not always feasible and/or timely
 - Impacted by cross-over
 - Doesn't account for patient experience

Endpoints corresponding to standard clinical trial endpoints

- Progression-free survival (PFS)
 - Surrogate for overall survival and/or measure of clinical benefit
 - Overcomes cross-over challenge
 - RECIST vs Clinician-assessed
 - Feasibility of formal RECIST?
 - Non-standardized assessment schedules

Endpoints corresponding to standard clinical trial endpoints

- Response rate
 - Evidence of biological activity
 - RECIST vs Clinician-assessed
 - Feasibility of formal RECIST?
 - Applicability beyond biological activity?
 - Time to response
 - Duration of response

Endpoints not typically used in clinical trial setting

- Time to next treatment
 - with reason for change in treatment?
- Loss of clinical benefit
- Symptomatic endpoints
 - Patient-reported outcomes

Validation

- Comparison to gold standard(s)
- Triangulation
- Correlation to other endpoints
 - Time to next treatment and Overall survival

Critical Next Steps

- Opportunities (such as today) to hear perspectives of various interested parties
- Partnership on endpoint development and validation efforts
- Development of guidance documents/white paper on what endpoints are acceptable and when
- Demonstration projects

Final Thoughts

- All of the above approach to endpoints in RWD setting
 - Overall Survival, Progression free survival, Response
 - Time to next treatment (with reason for change)
 - Opportunity to innovate (e.g., loss of clinical benefit)
- Collaboration will be key
 - Data owners
 - Users of data
 - Decision-makers (regulators, payers, healthcare providers, patients)
- Adapt - Leverage changing technology
 - human abstraction → Natural language processing (NLP)

Doing now what patients need next